Position: ATMIA believes that restrictions on cash payments are not an efficient instrument to combat tax evasion, money laundering or the financing of terrorism. They have a detrimental effect on the economy, they can contribute to the creation of a climate of suspicion towards perfectly legitimate cash transactions, and they may end up becoming anti-financial inclusion.

Background

Many countries around the globe have imposed restrictions on payments in cash; in some cases, the restrictions apply to certain types of transactions e.g. salary payments or real estate transactions; in other cases, transactions exceeding a certain amount cannot be settled in cash. Several countries apply different caps according to the parties involved: business or individual; resident or non-resident.

Chart 1 below provides an illustration of caps applied in different countries. Other countries, including Germany, have been considering implementing similar measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Cap</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>€ 3,000</td>
<td>1 January 2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>BGN 10,000 (€5112)</td>
<td>1 July 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>CZK 350,000 (€12,673)</td>
<td>1 January 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>DKK 10,000 (€1,340)</td>
<td>1 July 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>€ 1,000 Residents € 15,000 Non residents</td>
<td>1 January 2001 revised in 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>€ 1,500</td>
<td>1 January 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>HUF 1.5 million (€ 5,000)</td>
<td>1 January 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>€ 3,500 for merchants € 12,000 for individuals</td>
<td>1 January 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>€ 1,000</td>
<td>6 December 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>€ 1,000</td>
<td>14 May 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovakia</td>
<td>€ 5,000</td>
<td>1 January 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>€ 2,500 Residents € 15,000 Non residents</td>
<td>19 November 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: The Irreplacability of Cash and recent Limitations on its Use: Why Europe is off the Track, Eduardo Boretti, Università della Svizzera Italiana, Updated by AGIS Consulting.
The ostensible justification for these measures is to reduce tax evasion, fight crime, curb the shadow economy and even combat the financing of terrorism.

**Commentary on Cash Restriction Measures**

In the opinion of ATMIA, these measures are inefficient. There is no evidence that they actually curb crime or tax evasion. Furthermore, they have damaging socio-economic consequences.

It is highly unlikely that these measures would discourage illegitimate transactions in cash. Criminals will not be deterred from illegal activities just because they risk a fine when they pay in cash.

As for tax evasion, it seems rather easy to work around the rules, e.g. by the splitting the transaction if it exceeds the threshold. Of course, that is easier for a restaurant bill than, say, for a car. Alternatively, bring a foreign friend to foot the bill. Indeed, several countries (including France or Spain) impose different limits for residents and non-residents: € 2,500 in Spain for residents and €15,000 for non-residents. And there is always the option of using other anonymous payment instruments such as anonymous pre-paid cards, not to mention virtual currencies.

Over a dozen countries have imposed restrictions on cash payments but until now, no evaluation of the efficiency of these measures has been undertaken. There does not appear to be any correlation between the size of the shadow economy and the adoption of restrictions as illustrated by Chart 2 below.

Austria, which has the smallest shadow economy in Europe imposes no restriction, whereas Bulgaria which has the largest has set a cap of just over € 5,000.

Mordechai Fein¹, former head of the Currency Department at the Bank of Israel, points out that “One indication that essential economic or social aspects were not accounted for is the wide discrepancy of cash restriction ceilings among countries.” In particular, one would expect that restrictions on cash payments would only shift tax evasion towards other, more sophisticated methods.

---

¹ Cash : Down but not Out by Mordechai Fein -
http://www.cashessentials.org/publications/publication/2016/06/30/cash-down-but-not-out
Restricting cash payments could well have far reaching economic and social consequences. It will impact economic activity in that plenty of sales may be lost, if parties are unable to agree on a non-cash payment instrument. For others, it will increase the cost of doing business as enterprises will be forced to accept non-cash payment instruments, which requires investing in point-of-sale terminals and paying merchant fees. This is particularly detrimental to small businesses and to the fostering of the vital entrepreneurial spirit.

In terms of financial inclusion, these restrictions discriminate against people who do not have access to non-cash payment instruments, including the un-banked and under-banked. These people generally belong to the more fragile segments of society, including the poor, the elderly, the blind and even the youth.

It seems patently absurd to discriminate against cash use when the percentage of payments worldwide which are still carried out using cash are recognised to be at least 80%.

Last, but not least, these measures create an unacceptable atmosphere of suspicion around cash and cash-users. The vast majority of cash transactions are perfectly legitimate. The vast majority of cash users are law-abiding citizens. Citizens may prefer cash, for example, because it offers protection against cyber-crime or offers privacy and anonymity. In addition, cash contributes to the better management of household budgets and savings.

In a similar vein, ATMIAn opposes any enforced restrictions on ATM cash withdrawals and on private cash holdings by free, law-abiding citizens as misguided measures which end up discriminating against the use of cash without producing any discernible economic or social benefits.
**Conclusion**

Summing up, ATMIA recommends the scrapping of restrictions on cash payments as discriminatory, misguided and inefficient measures. Not only do they fail to dent crime and tax evasion, but they put cash-prefering citizens at an unfair disadvantage, including several vulnerable segments of society, thereby endangering financial inclusion. Existing restrictions on cash use are regarded by many as the "thin end of the wedge", opening the door to further restrictions which would no doubt also endanger financial and social inclusivity.

It should not be forgotten that the overwhelming majority of cash transactions are perfectly legitimate and that it is unwise to “throw the baby out with the bath water”, unnecessarily penalising law-abiding cash users in a way which appears to them – and to ATMIA - to be arbitrary.
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AGIS Consulting is an independent strategy consulting company specialised in payment transaction strategies & solutions. Our focus is on providing payments professionals with value-added business insight & marketing solutions in the payments value chain.
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